

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 MARK A. PERRY (*pro hac vice*)  
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 11101  
 Telephone: 202.955.8500  
 mperry@gibsondunn.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
 DANIEL B. WINSLOW (*pro hac vice*)  
 6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300  
 Pleasanton, CA 94566  
 Telephone: (925) 264-7736  
 dwinslow@riministreet.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 JEFFREY T. THOMAS (*pro hac vice*)  
 BLAINE H. EVANSON (*pro hac vice*)  
 JOSEPH A. GORMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
 CASEY J. MCCracken (*pro hac vice*)  
 3161 Michelson Drive  
 Irvine, CA 92612-4412  
 Telephone: 949.451.3800  
 jtthomas@gibsondunn.com  
 bevanson@gibsondunn.com  
 jgorman@gibsondunn.com  
 cmccracken@gibsondunn.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
 JOHN P. REILLY (*pro hac vice*)  
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
 Telephone: (336) 908-6961  
 jreilly@riministreet.com

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC  
 W. WEST ALLEN (Nevada Bar No. 5566)  
 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
 Telephone: (702) 667-4843  
 wwa@h2law.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 SAMUEL LIVERSIDGE (*pro hac vice*)  
 ERIC D. VANDEVELDE (*pro hac vice*)  
 333 South Grand Avenue  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
 Telephone: 213.229.7000  
 sliversidge@gibsondunn.com  
 evandeveld@ibsondunn.com

*Attorneys for Defendant  
 Rimini Street, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

**RIMINI STREET, INC.'S MOTION  
 TO SEAL CONTENTS OF A DVD  
 AND USB DRIVE FILED  
 MANUALLY**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 10, 2010 (ECF No. 55, “Protective Order”), Rules 5.2(e)–(f) and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 10-5(b), Defendant Rimini Street, Inc. (“Rimini”) respectfully requests that the Court seal the video presentation titled “BengeDeclarationProcess2.0.mp4” (the “Confidential Materials”) included on the DVD and USB drive filed manually by Rimini in connection with its Opposition to Oracle’s Motion for Order to Show Cause, and the accompanying Declaration of Jim Benge.

This Motion to Seal is based on this Notice of Motion and the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Rimini respectfully requests that the Court seal the Confidential Materials because they contain confidential information regarding Rimini’s business processes, and this information is properly designated as “Confidential Information” or “Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order. Good cause exists to seal the Confidential Materials, as set forth below.

This Court has regularly granted motions to file under seal similar information, both in *Rimini I* and *Rimini II*. See, e.g., ECF Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 990, 1107, 1228, 1250, 1261; see also *Rimini II*, No. 14-CV-1699-LRH-DJA (D. Nev.), ECF Nos. 127, 137, 222, 280–282, 287, 333–334, 371, 391, 434–436, 602, 625–628, 760–768, 836–849, 1122, 1191.

**I. ARGUMENT**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Rimini has designated as highly confidential the Confidential Materials filed in connection with its Opposition to Oracle’s Motion for Order to Show Cause because they contain highly confidential information about Rimini’s proprietary processes.

**A. Highly Confidential Information About Rimini's Proprietary Processes**

Rimini moves to seal proprietary information regarding the ways in which Rimini provides services to its clients and runs its business operations. This information is reflected in the Confidential Materials.

The Confidential Materials contain a description of Rimini's proprietary technical processes, including detailed information about Rimini's proprietary technical tools and processes, a detailed description of how those tools and processes were developed, and descriptions of how Rimini provides services to its clients, as well as certain confidential details of Rimini's technical processes that Rimini designated "Highly Confidential" elsewhere.

Under the Protective Order, "all non-public information" regarding "business plans" or "proprietary technical information and specifications" are properly designated confidential, and "extremely sensitive . . . non-public information" including trade secrets are properly designated as highly confidential. Disclosure of this information would advantage Rimini's competitors, disclosing trade secrets that would allow Rimini's competitors to adopt methods that have made Rimini successful, and more easily allow them to compete in the third-party software service marketplace. *See Hologram USA, Inc. v. Pulse Evolution Corp.*, No. 14-CV-772, 2015 WL 105793, at \*2 (D. Nev. Jan. 7, 2015) (granting motion to seal where documents "contain[ed] information that could injure Plaintiffs' competitive posture in the ... industry"); *Spectrum Pharm. Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.*, No. 12-CV-111, 2014 WL 4202540, at \*2 (D. Nev. Aug. 21, 2014) (granting motion to seal where documents contained "proprietary, business practice, trade secret, and technical information that could injure the parties' competitive posture"); *Clark v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, No. 08-CV-158, 2010 WL 1006823, at \*1 (D. Nev. Mar. 16, 2010) (granting motion to seal materials that would "bring attention to MetLife's confidential internal business deliberations, organization, and capabilities").

This Court has previously granted motions to file under seal portions of documents containing this type of confidential information regarding Rimini's internal business processes. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 990, 1107, 1228, 1250, 1261; *see also Rimini II*, No. 14-CV-1699-LRH-DJA, ECF Nos. 627, 1240. Sealing references to Rimini's proprietary

1 information will not frustrate the public's visibility into the judicial process because Rimini  
2 requests the targeted sealing of particularly sensitive information and leaves all other documents  
3 unsealed.

4 **II. CONCLUSION**

5 For the foregoing reasons, Rimini respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file  
6 under seal the Confidential Materials.

7  
8 Dated: July 31, 2020

9 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

10  
11 By: /s/ Eric D. Vandeveld  
12 Eric D. Vandeveld

13 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
14 *Rimini Street, Inc.*  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28